



**Directors**

**Robert Jehn, Chair**  
Cloverdale

**Mike Kerns, V. Chair**  
Sonoma County

**Bob Blanchard**  
Santa Rosa

**Stanley Cohen**  
Sonoma

**Pat Gilardi**  
Cotati

**Mike Harris**  
Petaluma

**Sam Pierce**  
Sebastopol

**Paul Kelley**  
Sonoma County

**Jake Mackenzie**  
Rohnert Park

**Warin Parker**  
Windsor

**Mike Reilly**  
Sonoma County

**Lisa Schaffner**  
Healdsburg

**Citizens Advisory Committee**

**AGENDA**

**September 24, 2007 – 4:00 p.m.**

Sonoma County Transportation Authority Conference Room  
490 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 206, Santa Rosa, California

**ITEM**

- I.** Introductions
- II.** Consent Items – **DISCUSSION/ACTION**
  - A. Approval of Minutes from August 27, 2007\*
  - B. Approval of Agenda
- III.** Measure M Update – **DISCUSSION/ACTION**
  - A. Finance Reports\*
  - B. Annual Audit Report
  - C. 2007 Measure M Strategic Plan
  - D. Petaluma River Trail Project Update
- IV.** Project Updates – **DISCUSSION/ACTION**
  - A. Hwy 101 Report
  - B. SMART
- V.** Planning Updates – **DISCUSSION/ACTION**
  - A. Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP)\*
    - 1. Opinion poll
    - 2. CTP update schedule
- VI.** Adjournment – **ACTION**

---

The next **S C T A** meeting will be held **October 8, 2007**  
The next **C A C** meeting will be held **October 29, 2007**

DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability that requires the agenda materials to be in an alternate format or that requires an interpreter or other person to assist you while attending this meeting, please contact SCTA at least 72 hours prior to the meeting to ensure arrangements for accommodation.

**Suzanne Smith**  
Executive Director

490 Mendocino Avenue  
Suite 206  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401  
PH: 707-565-5373  
FAX: 707-565-5370

---

# SONOMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

---

## MINUTES Monday, August 27, 2007

### ATTENDEES

Abbasi, Mousa, Santa Rosa Chamber of Commerce	Hirshfield, Maddy, Fifth District
Anderson, Bob, United Winegrowers	Hudgins, Anne, Sierra Club
Barney, Chris, SCTA	March, Lynne, SCTA
Donofrio, Nina, SCTA	Nichols, Curt, HBA
Ellman, George, Transportation & Land Use Coalition	Richards, Willard, League of Women Voters
Gause, Seana, SCTA	Robertson, Dave, Sonoma Co. Trans. & Public Works Dept.
Harrington, Craig, Fourth District	Smith, Suzanne, SCTA
Harter, Dennis, Sonoma County Alliance	Spilman, Janet, SCTA

#### I. **Introductions**

Introductions were made.

#### II. **Consent Items – DISCUSSION/ACTION**

A. Approval of Minutes of May 23, 2007.

The minutes of May 23, 2007 were approved with the following corrections: Steve Birdlebough, Maddy Hirshfield, and Anne Hudgins to be added as attendees.

B. Approval of Agenda

The agenda was approved as submitted.

#### III. **Measure M Update – DISCUSSION/ACTION**

A. Project Delivery Managers\*

Seana Gause reported that, as has been requested, staff will be tracking projects more closely. The SCTA has assigned Project Development Managers to each of the Measure M projects in the tax measure. Reports will be made to the CAC on a regular basis. She referred to the list of Measure M projects, which indicates who would be managing each of these, and each of the project sponsors.

In response to Mr. Ellman's inquiry, Ms. Gause explained and summarized data represented in each column of the project list (e.g., M# is the Measure M number assigned to each project, PDM is Project Development Manager).

Ms. Gause invited Committee members to contact any of the staff listed for these projects for further information or for answers to questions.

In response to Mr. Anderson's question, Ms. Gause confirmed that the figures listed for Fiscal Year 2007/2008 represent the amount that has been programmed.

Ms. Gause added that staff expects to report at least once a year to the CAC on each of the projects in Measure M, especially those that are receiving funds this year. Staff is still determining when each of the projects will be brought before the CAC.

In response to Mr. Abbasi's questioning regarding Highway 101 costs, Ms. Gause explained that the projects presented in the PDM listing show only the Measure M contributions, and that these projects will receive additional funding other than Measure M. Mr. Abbasi requested further information regarding project costs for Highway 101.

#### B. Finance Reports\*

Ms. Smith presented monthly report showing information through the end of the 2006/2007 fiscal year. She reported \$39.8 million in Measure M receipts. Mr. Anderson confirmed with Ms. Smith that the figures represent what was projected at this time.

Ms. Smith confirmed with Mr. Abbasi that costs for the Highway 101 project are on target, and that expenses have not been as much as originally anticipated.

#### C. Annual Audit and Report Schedules

Ms. Smith reviewed prior discussions regarding the Measure M audit, and the CAC had agreed to have staff engage the services of Williams Adley & Associates to conduct the Fiscal Year 2006/2007 audit. They are at present conducting field work, and are scheduled to have a draft audit for CAC by September 21, for the September 24 meeting. The final audit will then be issued one month later.

Ms. Spilman discussed the draft Annual Report for Measure M, and reviewed the task schedule, deadlines, and reporting requirements. The most critical of these currently is Task #3, receiving reporting letters. She summarized the tasks and timelines.

Ms. Gause noted that in regard to Task #5, it is staff's intent to include project information sheets for each of the projects in the fiscal year that are being reported on (similar to those found in the Strategic Plan). These will be presented to the Technical Advisory Committee for any sponsors that received Measure M funds last fiscal year, and ask project sponsors for updated information. Once the data is received back from them, staff will complete the data for the project information sheets.

Staff anticipates compiling this information and updating the information sheets by the second Monday of October. A draft report is to be presented to the CAC at the end of September. Following this, the report will go to the graphic designer, and staff will present the final report to the SCTA Board November 5.

Ms. Spilman noted that this will have more detailed information than previous reports, and will be more comprehensive, and that the project information sheet format utilized in the Strategic Plan has been found to be very useful.

Ms. Smith explained, in response to Mr. Abbasi's request to include project costs, that these are not known at this time, and that this would require staff to work with project sponsors in defining projects, and to be in discussions regarding project costs. Ms. Gause added that project costs are not static, and are changing, and that staff expects to provide updates on individual projects as these change. She cited the example of Farmers Lane as a project whose costs increased significantly from what was indicated in the Comprehensive Transportation Plan. Ms. Smith confirmed that the committee would be kept informed on a project-by-project basis. Ms. Gause added that she would expect one or two projects to be reported on at each of the upcoming CAC meetings.

#### D. Penngrove Project Update\*

Dave Robertson of Sonoma County Transportation and Public Works referred to the Penngrove and Airport Boulevard projects under the Local Street projects on the Project Delivery Manager list provided by Ms. Gause, and reported that he had opened up bids for signal modification on Airport Boulevard on August 1, and awarded a contract for \$430,000 to move signals at Aviation and Airport Boulevard. This is an expenditure of \$250,000 in Measure M funds.

Ms. Gause then addressed the Penngrove update, noting that this project is less defined than others. The SCTA originally appropriated \$200,000 for Phase 1 of the Penngrove area project (which also includes Railroad Avenue), to develop a master plan and a fund-sharing plan for the surrounding jurisdictions. The SCTA directed the Technical Advisory Committee to establish a sub-committee of the contributing jurisdictions to determine how to handle this project.

At monthly meetings of the sub-committee, it was determined that a Request for Proposals be issued to conduct a study of traffic counts in the Penngrove area. The contract was awarded to Kimley-Horn, who then collected traffic volume information during peak periods. The origin and destination study included the collection of license plate data. This data would then be submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles for the purpose of determining the address of the license registration (not the identity of the registered vehicle owner). The consultant will then provide an analysis of the data.

The analysis of the traffic count data has been completed and a volume and turning movement report has been produced. A database containing the license plate data has been provided by the consultant to Sonoma County Transportation and Public Works. The consultant will provide analysis after the registration information is obtained by the County agency (the Department of Motor Vehicles can only release this information to a government agency).

After analysis of the data, the Subcommittee will meet again.

Ms. Gause then introduced Mr. Robertson to address the Committee and provide an update on this project.

Mr. Robertson provided an update on the August invoice. The County has been invoiced up to \$151,000 of a \$168,000 contract with Kimley-Horn to conduct the license plate data collection. He referred to the map of intersection count locations, and explained that they began with establishing 11 gateways at

various locations in the Penngrove area. Intersection count locations included Petaluma Hill Road and Adobe Road; East Cotati Avenue and Petaluma Hill Road; Old Redwood Highway and Adobe Road; and Old Redwood Highway and Main Street.

The analysis included photographing and identifying 170,000 license plates in a three-day period, Monday through Wednesdays, and analyzing the percentage of traffic traveling to specific areas. License plate data will also show the origin of traffic, and thereby determine different cities' contribution to traffic in the Penngrove corridor. Information was gathered by comparing what gateways were entered and exited. Approximately 1,600 cars were recorded during peak morning hours and approximately 1,700 cars were recorded traveling during peak hours in the afternoon. Approximately 9,000 cars in a day travel through the Penngrove corridor (approximately 900 in the peak hour), and traffic is generally unable to make a right turn in the course of a single green signal.

Staff will identify and prioritize traffic capacity needs and assign projects for improvement and traffic mitigation.

Mr. Harrington asked when the County expects to have the traffic analysis information available (e.g. the traffic origin analysis). Mr. Robertson responded that data from the Department of Motor Vehicles may be received in approximately two months.

Mr. Ellman confirmed that the 1,600 cars per hour referred to by Mr. Robertson would apply to traffic in both directions. Mr. Anderson confirmed how the information was gathered and extrapolated. Mr. Robertson confirmed that they gathered peak hour and 24-hour data.

Maddy Hirshfield asked if this would be an ongoing study. Mr. Robertson confirmed that the current study is a baseline study, which will be added to with updated information.

Chris Barney of the SCTA reported that models will be recalibrated to reflect current data. This study will improve modeling for this area of the County.

Mr. Anderson asked for confirmation of project costs (\$50 million). Mr. Robertson explained that if projects include just Old Redwood Highway, Railroad Avenue, the freeway onramp at Railroad Avenue, and the intersections at Petaluma Hill Road, and Main Street and Adobe, costs would probably be approximately \$30 million. If bypasses are added, such as for Old Redwood Highway to divert traffic to Old Redwood Highway North, not using any streets, an additional \$20 million in costs could be incurred.

Ms. Hirshfield expressed concern for the privacy of citizens in the course of collecting traffic data (e.g. license plate information). Mr. Robertson confirmed that photographs were taken only of license plates themselves, from the back of the car. The subcommittee wants to meet once a month for the next three months. Ms. Gause announced that once the traffic origin and destination analysis is completed this will be brought back to the CAC.

#### IV. Project Updates – **DISCUSSION/ACTION**

##### A. Hwy 101 Report

Ms. Smith provided an update on the various construction projects for Highway 101:

The Steele Lane undercrossing portion of the local road portion is scheduled to be open to the public before Thanksgiving, which is six months ahead of schedule. Work is progressing very well.

For the Central project, the final Environmental Impact Report is anticipated to be signed off by this coming Friday. By the end of September, final environmental documents are anticipated to be signed off for the North project. Staff anticipates that Caltrans will be releasing the draft environmental document for the Marin/Sonoma Narrows the first week of October, and a public hearing is being planned for November 1 in Petaluma (probably at the Petaluma Veterans Building). Caltrans is scheduled to finalize the environmental documents by June, 2008.

Ms. Smith reported that the design for Wilfred, North and Central projects is progressing and is 65% completed. Work is being done on the final design packages for all three projects.

Ms. Smith summarized funding issues regarding the Marin/Sonoma Narrows project. She provided an update regarding the State bond funding that was received for this project, and where this stands regarding the scope of work, and how funds are expended. \$82.4 million was received in Corridor Mobility Improvement Account funds (Proposition 1B). However, this was allocated for Segment A of the Narrows project, which is the HOV lanes in Marin County. This \$82.4 million is in addition to the \$165 million from this same program for the three Highway 101 projects (Wilfred, North, and Central). The scope of work was not clear for the \$82.4 million; the Segment A work that was submitted by Marin County was for a total of \$30 million. It had been determined previously by staff that these funds would be best utilized for Segment B, to address access and safety issues, as well as traffic mitigation. It was agreed that this would be the best allocation of these funds. All of the other funding that has ever been programmed has been added to the \$82.4 million CMIA funds (federal earmarks, ITIP, STIP funds, and a portion of Measure M funds).

Ms. Smith presented a summary of Project Funding and Scope for the Marin/Sonoma Narrows. This outlines the fund source, approved CMIA funds, and projects. Marin had agreed to build the San Antonio interchange and repair the access points associated with it, rather than spending funds on HOV lanes that go from four to two lanes. Discussions have been ongoing regarding where HOV lanes will end, and how much funding will be needed for the San Antonio interchange improvement project. Proposed revision CMIA projects would still include HOV lanes, but would also include the San Antonio interchange, the Petaluma Boulevard South interchange.

Ms. Smith identified two additional project phases that are outside the CMIA scope. These are the East Washington interchange (improvements to ramps to East Washington), and San Antonio curve design. The East Washington

interchange is not considered part of the CMIA package. In response to questions regarding funding for this project, Ms. Smith responded that this is a \$23 million project, fully funded by the Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Federal Earmarks, and \$4 million in local Petaluma funding.

Staff will be seeking construction funds in the 2008 STIP for the San Antonio curve. The funding and scope presented by Ms. Smith was for allocation of funds to design the curve. There is an understanding of the safety issues involved at this site.

In total, these projects are expected to incur \$230 million in costs by 2011.

The next phases are likely to be the Petaluma River bridges, probably extending the HOV lanes until they meet in the middle, from North to South.

Total project cost for the Marin/Sonoma Narrows is approximately \$800 million.

The next steps are to designate TCRP funding, and this will take place at the California Transportation Commission (CTC) meeting in September. The scope for the proposed revised CMIA also needs to be approved by the CTC, and this is anticipated to be on their November agenda.

Ms. Smith confirmed that, in general, agreement has been reached between Sonoma and Marin County for these projects, and it is anticipated that this will be approved by the CTC. She acknowledged that further agreement needs to be reached regarding the HOV lanes. In response to Mr. Anderson's questioning, Ms. Smith summarized the changes in the approved CMIA HOV lanes and proposed revised HOV lanes as outlined in the Project Funding and Scope.

Anne Hudgins confirmed with Ms. Smith that the draft EIR would not be available until October.

#### B. SMART

Ms. Smith announced that Chris Coursey has been hired to be the Public Outreach/Education spokesperson for SMART.

Staff is trying to get grade crossings funded by Measure M.

Mr. Ellman reported that the North Coast Railroad Authority will conduct an Environmental Impact Report, with some input from SMART.

Mr. Harter reported that this will likely not make the 2008 ballot.

### V. Planning Updates – **DISCUSSION/ACTION**

#### A. Comprehensive Transportation Plan\*

Ms. Spilman explained that this is a County wide plan. It was last updated in 2004, and prior to this, in 2001. This schedule is complementary to the Regional Transportation Plan (through the Metropolitan Transportation Commission).

An Ad hoc Steering Committee was established, made up of four members of the SCTA Board (Bob Blanchard, Jake Mackenzie, Sam Pierce, and Stanley Cohen).

Goals and benchmarks that were established, and approved by the Board, include reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, maintenance of the existing transportation system, congestion relief, development of resources to collect relevant data, and the pursuit of funding to implement the CTP.

It was determined that this process would be broken up into different elements; Public Participation and Content Development. Following a release of Request for Proposals, contracts will be presented to the Board for their approval for the firm of Dowling Associates for Content Development, and the Results Group for Public Participation.

Ms. Spilman referred to the Revised Project Scope of Work for the Public Participation, and time line. This Revised Scope of Work and the Revised Scope of Work for Content Development are scheduled to be presented to the Board September 10 for their approval. Work has already started on the model enhancement. For Public Participation, the plan is to begin with initial interviews and focus groups. A poll will then be developed that will be administered in the County, hopefully the first week of November; if not in November, then in January, 2008.

Once the results of this poll are obtained, an elected official summit will be held. Following this, meetings will be held throughout the County, with additional focus groups and interviews, to obtain more refined data, based on information received from the poll. Content of the document will continue to be developed during the course of this public outreach. Goals and strategies will be finalized early next summer. The document is anticipated to be completed next July.

Content development will follow along, and model enhancement will be a more fine-grained approach to mode splits, to get a more comprehensive overview of transit ridership. Chris Barney is working with the various transit providers to get counts, and to determine how to integrate this information into the model, working with Dowling and Associates.

Schedules are being developed, and Ms. Spilman presented the Initial Project Timeline. Mr. Ellman suggested the CAC review proposed polling questions. Ms. Spilman acknowledged this, and said she would also include the schedule of Advisory Committees in the revised timeline. She will return with this to the Committee, and will be bringing more information back after all the consultants meet on September 11.

#### B. Regional Traffic Mitigation Fee

Ms. Spilman reported that this issue was brought up by the Board as a result of the Rohnert Plan General Plan update in 2002, and recently resurfaced as a result of Rohnert Park's request to annex the Sonoma Mountain Village development. As a condition of granting the annexation, LAFCO stipulated that the City work with the SCTA and provide mitigation for traffic impacts outside the City limits. The SCTA will hold funds specified in an agreement between the City and Developer, and will handle their administration, which will probably be in the Penngrove area.

The core issues are: (1) what is a regional traffic mitigation fee; (2) how is it implemented; (3) what is it spent on, and how should it be spent? The Board is interested in examining these questions.

The Penngrove project is an example of a traditional regional traffic mitigation fee. First, traffic impacts in specific locations are identified. The amount of funds needed to complete projects to improve these specific areas is then determined. The cause of impacts is identified, as determined by a study. Mitigation fees are then collected. Legal issues also need to be faced in the course of this process. The Planning Advisory Committee also suggested looking into raising funds to combat greenhouse gas emissions, and suggested that funds be allocated to this end. This raises the possibility of a regional Greenhouse Gas Emission fund.

Chris Barney is studying data from the General Plan, the countywide traffic model, and ABAG to determine what buildout would be allowed or what future land use projections predict will be built, and, based on this, estimate revenue that could be generated by levying a per unit fee.,. The practicality and feasibility of implementing such fees will then be determined.

Mr. Barney said he would examine projected housing units, and the projected amount of job related development, generate a draft model fee program, and compute figures accordingly. He will present this for the next Committee meeting.

#### C. Countywide Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan\*

Lynne March reported that this has been under way since November. Completion is anticipated by the end of the calendar year. Components will include the Countywide master plan, as well as a plan for the unincorporated parts of the County and each participating city/town in the County with the exception of Petaluma, which already has a bicycle/pedestrian plan and Santa Rosa, which is in the process of developing their bicycle/pedestrian plan.

W-trans is the consultant for the SCTA project. The focus is now on the public workshops. A workshop is scheduled to take place in each of the four quadrants of the County. This will be the opportunity for the public to provide input. Ms. March referred to the flier for the workshops.

One of the major components to be presented is the work of Chris Barney who has updated the maps.

Mr. Barney presented the updated bicycle map, explaining that he has been working on this with the help of W-trans, and various jurisdictions. The color scheme has been revised. He noted that this now includes schools and civic buildings, transit connections, bicycle parking, and pedestrian crossings. This will be presented at the public workshops. Input has been received from jurisdictional staff. After this is finalized, it will be posted to the SCTA website. In response to questioning from Mr. Anderson, Mr. Barney explained that projects shown on the map are not constrained as to funding sources. He explained that GIS layers have been created, and gaps are evident between jurisdictions. Staff has worked with Santa Rosa and Petaluma and used their format in the update of this map.

Ms. Spilman reported that there is a great variety of funding sources for bicycle funding; however, the Regional Bicycle Pedestrian program is particularly interested in closing gaps. Therefore, it is desirable to identify these for this funding source.

Ms. March noted that another motivation to complete this project is to have plans that are certified for Caltrans' Bicycle Transportation Account. Ms. Smith noted that funds dedicated for this program have been doubled in the final State budget.

Ms. March reported that media outreach is currently under way.

In response to Mr. Abbasi's question as to whether the cities are included in the advisory committee, Ms. March explained that a representative designated by each jurisdiction serves on a steering committee.

D. Way to Go! Expo\*

Ms. March reported that the Way to Go! Expo took place August 8 at Courthouse Square. The change in date and venue was effective in making this a success. There were many more participants (43) than the previous year's event.

Mr. Ellman asked if there was any indication as to the number who responded. Ms. March estimated 300 to 350, but noted that she could look back at photographs and get an estimate. Ms. Gause noted that there was a significant increase in foot traffic over the prior year's event, as this was held in conjunction with the Downtown Market.

**VI. Adjournment – ACTION**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 5:45 p.m.

**Measure M Cash Flow**  
**Total Program to Date as of July 31, 2007**

<u>Description</u>	<u>Admin</u> <u>793109</u>	<u>LSR</u> <u>793208</u>	<u>LSP</u> <u>793307</u>	<u>Hwy 101</u> <u>793406</u>	<u>Transit</u> <u>793505</u>	<u>Rail</u> <u>793604</u>	<u>Bike &amp; Ped</u> <u>793703</u>	<u>Total</u>
BEGINNING CASH BALANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Revenue:</b>								
1140 Sales/Use Tax Receipts	393,470	7,869,392	7,869,393	15,738,785	4,026,472	1,967,349	1,573,878	39,438,740
1700 Interest on Pooled Cash	3,761	92,640	327,457	552,274	26,175	55,964	55,893	1,114,163
2500 State - Cal-Trans Reimbursement	-	-	-	2,017,487	-	-	-	2,017,487
2510 ST - Mandated Cost	539	10,789	10,789	21,579	5,395	2,697	2,158	53,946
xxxx Receivable Adjustment	-	-	-	-	(91,776)	-	-	(91,776)
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>397,770</b>	<b>7,972,821</b>	<b>8,207,639</b>	<b>18,330,126</b>	<b>3,966,266</b>	<b>2,026,009</b>	<b>1,631,930</b>	<b>42,532,560</b>
<b>Expenses:</b>								
Caltrans Reimbursable Expenses:								
6400 Office Expense	-	-	-	356	-	-	-	356
6500 Professional/Special Services	428	-	-	637,044	-	-	-	637,472
6570 Consultant Services	-	-	-	1,188,648	-	-	-	1,188,648
6610 Legal Services	-	-	-	3,312	-	-	-	3,312
6629 Fiscal Services	-	-	-	132	-	-	-	132
6630 Audit Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7302 Travel Expense	-	-	-	2,921	-	-	-	2,921
7303 Private Car Expense	-	-	-	3,574	-	-	-	3,574
7400 Data Processing	-	-	-	2,510	-	-	-	2,510
7450 ISD Small Tools / Software	-	-	-	3,842	-	-	-	3,842
Total Cal-Trans Expenses				1,842,338				1,842,766
6300 November 2004 Election Costs	2,738	54,757	54,757	109,515	27,379	13,689	10,951	273,787
6300 Misc. Expense	334	1,686	1,686	3,372	843	422	337	8,680
6400 Office Expense	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
6430 Printing Services	2,841	-	-	128	-	-	-	2,969
6500 Professional/Special Services	151,458	-	93	94,051	-	-	-	245,602
6570 Consultant Services	23,646	-	-	3,687,353	-	-	-	3,710,999
6573 Administration Costs	66,478	-	-	-	-	-	-	66,478
6610 Legal Services	11,722	-	-	1,372	-	-	-	13,094
6629 Fiscal Accounting	30,879	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,879
6630 Audit Services	25,825	-	-	-	-	-	-	25,825
6800 Public/Legal Notice	-	-	-	1,228	-	-	-	1,228
7302 Travel Expense	123	-	-	229	-	-	-	352
7303 Private Car Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8010 Contributions to Other Govt's:								
Cloverdale	-	93,768	-	-	-	-	-	93,768
Cotati	-	80,933	-	-	-	-	-	80,933
Healdsburg	-	144,204	-	-	-	-	595,000	739,204
Petaluma	-	641,614	-	-	-	-	187,289	828,903
Rohnert Park	-	421,665	-	-	-	-	-	421,665
Santa Rosa	-	1,790,955	437,000	-	-	-	16,250	2,244,205
Sebastopol	-	90,518	-	-	-	-	-	90,518
Sonoma	-	115,785	-	-	-	-	-	115,785
Windsor	-	288,001	-	-	-	-	-	288,001
Sonoma County Public Works	-	3,345,344	77,944	-	-	-	-	3,423,289
Sonoma County Regional Parks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Caltrans	-	-	-	1,221,124	-	-	-	1,221,124
MTC	-	-	-	400,000	-	-	-	400,000
Sonoma County Transit	-	-	-	-	2,016,594	-	-	2,016,594
Santa Rosa Citybus	-	-	-	-	1,242,895	-	-	1,242,895
Petaluma Transit	-	-	-	-	450,241	-	-	450,241
Healdsburg Transit	-	-	-	-	93,143	-	-	93,143
SMART	-	-	-	-	-	680,076	-	680,076
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>316,493</b>	<b>7,069,231</b>	<b>571,481</b>	<b>7,360,711</b>	<b>3,831,095</b>	<b>694,187</b>	<b>809,827</b>	<b>20,653,024</b>
<b>ADJUSTMENTS</b>								
109 Other Receivables	-	-	-	(400,067)	-	(0)	-	(400,067)
132 Due from Other Governments	-	-	-	(818,969)	-	-	-	(818,969)
201 Vouchers Payable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
203 Accounts Payable	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
205 Due to Other Governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
209 Contract Retention Payable	-	-	-	456,611	-	-	-	456,611
Rounding	-	-	-	2	-	-	(1)	1
<b>TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(762,423)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(0)</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(762,424)</b>
<b>ENDING CASH BALANCE</b>	<b>81,276</b>	<b>903,590</b>	<b>7,636,158</b>	<b>10,206,991</b>	<b>135,171</b>	<b>1,331,823</b>	<b>822,101</b>	<b>21,117,111</b>

**Measure M Cash Flow**  
**FY 07-08 Year to Date**

Description	Admin 793109	LSR 793208	LSP 793307	Hwy 101 793406	Transit 793505	Rail 793604	Bike & Ped 793703	Total
BEGINNING CASH BALANCE	80,646	639,190	7,371,758	9,883,136	213,726	1,265,723	816,190	20,270,369
<b>Revenue:</b>								
1140 Sales/Use Tax	6,610	132,200	132,200	264,400	66,100	33,050	26,440	661,000
1700 Interest on Pooled Cash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2500 State - Caltrans Reimbursement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
xxxx Receivable Adjustment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>6,610</b>	<b>132,200</b>	<b>132,200</b>	<b>264,400</b>	<b>66,100</b>	<b>33,050</b>	<b>26,440</b>	<b>661,000</b>
<b>Expenses:</b>								
Caltrans Reimbursable Expenses:								
6400 Office Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6500 Professional/Special Services	428	-	-	-	-	-	-	428
6570 Consultant Services	-	-	-	(7,938)	-	-	-	(7,938)
6610 Legal Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6629 Fiscal Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6630 Audit Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7302 Travel Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7303 Private Car Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Cal-Trans Expenses</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(7,938)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(7,510)</b>
6300 Misc. Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6500 Professional/Special Services	-	-	-	509	-	-	-	509
6570 Consultant Services	-	-	-	(331,806)	-	-	-	(331,806)
6573 Administration Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6610 Legal Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6629 Fiscal Accounting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6630 Audit Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7302 Travel Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7303 Private Car Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8010 Contributions to Other Govt's:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cloverdale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cotati	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Healdsburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petaluma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rohnert Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Rosa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sebastopol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Windsor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma County Public Works	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma County Regional Parks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Caltrans	-	-	-	(887,862)	-	-	-	(887,862)
MTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma County Transit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Rosa Citybus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petaluma Transit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Healdsburg Transit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SMART	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1,227,097)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1,226,669)</b>
<b>ADJUSTMENTS</b>								
109 Other Receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Due from Other Governments	6,610	132,200	132,200	264,400	66,100	33,050	26,440	661,000
201 Vouchers Payable	(12,161)	-	-	(204,436)	(210,755)	-	(46,968)	(474,320)
203 Accounts Payable	-	-	-	(339,744)	-	-	-	(339,744)
205 Due to Other Governments	-	-	-	(887,862)	-	-	-	(887,862)
209 Contract Retention Payable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rounding	(1)	-	-	-	-	(1)	1	(1)
<b>TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS</b>	<b>(5,552)</b>	<b>132,200</b>	<b>132,200</b>	<b>(1,167,642)</b>	<b>(144,655)</b>	<b>33,049</b>	<b>(20,527)</b>	<b>(1,040,927)</b>
<b>ENDING CASH BALANCE</b>	<b>81,276</b>	<b>903,590</b>	<b>7,636,158</b>	<b>10,206,991</b>	<b>135,171</b>	<b>1,331,822</b>	<b>822,102</b>	<b>21,117,111</b>

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	----- YEAR TO DATE -----		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	20,270,368.44	3,210,606.21	2,363,863.50	21,117,111.15
109	OTHER RECEIVABLES	400,067.42	.00	.00	400,067.42
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	1,479,968.96	.00	661,000.00	818,968.96
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-474,320.14	474,320.14	.00	.00
203	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	-339,744.21	339,744.21	.00	.00
205	DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS	-887,862.00	887,862.00	.00	.00
209	CONTRACT RETENTION PAYABLE	-456,610.81	.00	.00	-456,610.81
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-15,530,352.95	.00	4,461,514.71	-19,991,867.66
322	FUND BALANCE RESERVED FOR ENCUMBRANCES	-4,461,514.71	.00	.00	-4,461,514.71
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	41,275,014.71	65,910,596.00	-24,635,581.29
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	65,910,596.00	.00	65,910,596.00
411	REVENUE	.00	661,000.00	1,322,000.00	-661,000.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	36,813,500.00	-36,813,500.00
422	PRIOR YEAR APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	4,461,514.71	-4,461,514.71
431	EXPENDITURES	.00	937.15	1,227,606.21	-1,226,669.06
441	ENCUMBRANCES - CONTRACTS	.00	4,461,514.71	.00	4,461,514.71
	TOTAL SUBFUND 100	.00	117,221,595.13	117,221,595.13	.00
	TOTAL FUND 80	.00	326,245,953.56	326,245,953.56	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 100 ADMINISTRATION

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	80,645.67	19,830.00	19,199.41	81,276.26
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	6,610.00	.00	6,610.00	.00
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-12,160.99	12,160.99	.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-73,774.68	.00	1,320.00	-75,094.68
322	FUND BALANCE RESERVED FOR ENCUMBRANCES	-1,320.00	.00	.00	-1,320.00
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	181,820.00	193,713.00	-11,893.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	193,713.00	.00	193,713.00
411	REVENUE	.00	6,610.00	13,220.00	-6,610.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	180,500.00	-180,500.00
422	PRIOR YEAR APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	1,320.00	-1,320.00
431	EXPENDITURES	.00	428.42	.00	428.42
441	ENCUMBRANCES - CONTRACTS	.00	1,320.00	.00	1,320.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 100	.00	415,882.41	415,882.41	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 200 LOCAL STREET REPAIRS

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	639,190.33	396,600.00	132,200.00	903,590.33
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	132,200.00	.00	132,200.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-771,390.33	.00	.00	-771,390.33
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	3,830,000.00	3,853,225.00	-23,225.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	3,853,225.00	.00	3,853,225.00
411	REVENUE	.00	132,200.00	264,400.00	-132,200.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	3,830,000.00	-3,830,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 200	.00	8,212,025.00	8,212,025.00	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 300 LOCAL STREET PROJECTS

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	7,371,758.06	396,600.00	132,200.00	7,636,158.06
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	132,200.00	.00	132,200.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-7,503,958.06	.00	.00	-7,503,958.06
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	1,335,000.00	4,133,858.00	-2,798,858.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	4,133,858.00	.00	4,133,858.00
411	REVENUE	.00	132,200.00	264,400.00	-132,200.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	1,335,000.00	-1,335,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 300	.00	5,997,658.00	5,997,658.00	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 400 HIGHWAY 101

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	9,883,135.93	2,020,806.21	1,696,951.16	10,206,990.98
109	OTHER RECEIVABLES	400,067.42	.00	.00	400,067.42
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	1,083,368.96	.00	264,400.00	818,968.96
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-204,436.22	204,436.22	.00	.00
203	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	-339,744.21	339,744.21	.00	.00
205	DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS	-887,862.00	887,862.00	.00	.00
209	CONTRACT RETENTION PAYABLE	-456,610.81	.00	.00	-456,610.81
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-5,017,724.36	.00	4,460,194.71	-9,477,919.07
322	FUND BALANCE RESERVED FOR ENCUMBRANCES	-4,460,194.71	.00	.00	-4,460,194.71
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	32,063,194.71	54,061,557.00	-21,998,362.29
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	54,061,557.00	.00	54,061,557.00
411	REVENUE	.00	264,400.00	528,800.00	-264,400.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	27,603,000.00	-27,603,000.00
422	PRIOR YEAR APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	4,460,194.71	-4,460,194.71
431	EXPENDITURES	.00	508.73	1,227,606.21	-1,227,097.48
441	ENCUMBRANCES - CONTRACTS	.00	4,460,194.71	.00	4,460,194.71
	TOTAL PROJECT 400	.00	94,302,703.79	94,302,703.79	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 500 TRANSIT

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	213,726.05	198,300.00	276,854.63	135,171.42
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	66,100.00	.00	66,100.00	.00
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-210,754.63	210,754.63	.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-69,071.42	.00	.00	-69,071.42
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	1,913,000.00	1,913,668.00	-668.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	1,913,668.00	.00	1,913,668.00
411	REVENUE	.00	66,100.00	132,200.00	-66,100.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	1,913,000.00	-1,913,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 500	.00	4,301,822.63	4,301,822.63	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 600 PASSENGER RAIL

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	1,265,722.09	99,150.00	33,050.00	1,331,822.09
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	33,050.00	.00	33,050.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-1,298,772.09	.00	.00	-1,298,772.09
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	957,000.00	958,566.00	-1,566.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	958,566.00	.00	958,566.00
411	REVENUE	.00	33,050.00	66,100.00	-33,050.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	957,000.00	-957,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 600	.00	2,047,766.00	2,047,766.00	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 700 BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	816,190.31	79,320.00	73,408.30	822,102.01
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	26,440.00	.00	26,440.00	.00
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-46,968.30	46,968.30	.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-795,662.01	.00	.00	-795,662.01
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	995,000.00	796,009.00	198,991.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	796,009.00	.00	796,009.00
411	REVENUE	.00	26,440.00	52,880.00	-26,440.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	995,000.00	-995,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 700	.00	1,943,737.30	1,943,737.30	.00
	TOTAL SUBFUND 100	.00	117,221,595.13	117,221,595.13	.00
	TOTAL FUND 80	.00	326,245,953.56	326,245,953.56	.00

**Measure M Cash Flow**  
**Total Program to Date as of August 31, 2007**

<u>Description</u>	<u>Admin</u> 793109	<u>LSR</u> 793208	<u>LSP</u> 793307	<u>Hwy 101</u> 793406	<u>Transit</u> 793505	<u>Rail</u> 793604	<u>Bike &amp; Ped</u> 793703	<u>Total</u>
BEGINNING CASH BALANCE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Revenue:</b>								
1140 Sales/Use Tax Receipts	388,196	7,763,913	7,763,913	15,527,825	3,881,956	1,940,979	1,552,782	38,819,564
1700 Interest on Pooled Cash	3,761	92,640	327,457	552,274	26,175	55,964	55,893	1,114,163
2500 State - Cal-Trans Reimbursement	-	-	-	2,017,487	-	-	-	2,017,487
2510 ST - Mandated Cost	539	10,789	10,789	21,579	5,395	2,697	2,158	53,946
4200 Bond Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>392,496</b>	<b>7,867,341</b>	<b>8,102,158</b>	<b>18,119,166</b>	<b>3,913,526</b>	<b>1,999,640</b>	<b>1,610,833</b>	<b>42,005,161</b>
<b>Expenses:</b>								
Caltrans Reimbursable Expenses:								
6400 Office Expense	-	-	-	356	-	-	-	356
6500 Professional/Special Services	-	-	-	637,044	-	-	-	637,044
6570 Consultant Services	-	-	-	1,196,586	-	-	-	1,196,586
6610 Legal Services	-	-	-	3,312	-	-	-	3,312
6629 Fiscal Services	-	-	-	132	-	-	-	132
6630 Audit Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7302 Travel Expense	-	-	-	2,921	-	-	-	2,921
7303 Private Car Expense	-	-	-	3,574	-	-	-	3,574
7400 Data Processing	-	-	-	2,510	-	-	-	2,510
7450 ISD Small Tools / Software	-	-	-	3,842	-	-	-	3,842
Total Cal-Trans Expenses				1,850,277				1,850,277
6300 November 2004 Election Costs	2,738	54,757	54,757	109,515	27,379	13,689	10,951	273,787
6300 Misc. Expense	334	1,686	1,686	3,372	843	422	337	8,680
6400 Office Expense	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
6430 Printing Services	2,841	-	-	128	-	-	-	2,969
6500 Professional/Special Services	154,833	-	93	98,839	-	-	-	253,765
6570 Consultant Services	23,646	-	-	4,019,158	-	-	-	4,042,804
6573 Administration Costs	66,478	-	-	-	-	-	-	66,478
6610 Legal Services	11,722	-	-	1,372	-	-	-	13,094
6629 Fiscal Accounting	30,879	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,879
6630 Audit Services	25,825	-	-	-	-	-	-	25,825
6800 Public/Legal Notice	-	-	-	1,228	-	-	-	1,228
7302 Travel Expense	123	-	-	229	-	-	-	352
7303 Private Car Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8010 Contributions to Other Govt's:								
Cloverdale	-	93,768	-	-	-	-	-	93,768
Cotati	-	80,933	-	-	-	-	-	80,933
Healdsburg	-	144,204	-	-	-	-	595,000	739,204
Petaluma	-	641,614	-	-	-	-	187,289	828,903
Rohnert Park	-	421,665	-	-	-	-	-	421,665
Santa Rosa	-	1,790,955	437,000	-	-	-	16,250	2,244,205
Sebastopol	-	90,518	-	-	-	-	-	90,518
Sonoma	-	115,785	-	-	-	-	-	115,785
Windsor	-	288,001	-	-	-	-	-	288,001
Sonoma County Public Works	-	3,345,344	77,944	-	-	-	-	3,423,289
Sonoma County Regional Parks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Caltrans	-	-	-	1,221,124	-	-	-	1,221,124
MTC	-	-	-	400,000	-	-	-	400,000
Sonoma County Transit	-	-	-	-	2,016,594	-	-	2,016,594
Santa Rosa Citybus	-	-	-	-	1,242,895	-	-	1,242,895
Petaluma Transit	-	-	-	-	450,241	-	-	450,241
Healdsburg Transit	-	-	-	-	93,143	-	-	93,143
SMART	-	-	-	-	-	680,076	-	680,076
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>319,440</b>	<b>7,069,231</b>	<b>571,481</b>	<b>7,705,243</b>	<b>3,831,095</b>	<b>694,187</b>	<b>809,827</b>	<b>21,000,503</b>
<b>ADJUSTMENTS</b>								
109 Other Receivables	-	-	-	(400,067)	-	(0)	-	(400,067)
132 Due from Other Governments	-	-	-	(818,969)	-	-	-	(818,969)
201 Vouchers Payable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
203 Accounts Payable	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0
205 Due to Other Governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
209 Contract Retention Payable	-	-	-	456,611	-	-	-	456,611
Rounding	(1)	-	-	2	-	(1)	(1)	(1)
<b>TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(762,423)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(762,426)</b>
<b>ENDING CASH BALANCE</b>	<b>73,054</b>	<b>798,111</b>	<b>7,530,678</b>	<b>9,651,499</b>	<b>82,432</b>	<b>1,305,452</b>	<b>801,005</b>	<b>20,242,231</b>

**Measure M Cash Flow  
FY 07-08 Year to Date**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Admin 793109</b>	<b>LSR 793208</b>	<b>LSP 793307</b>	<b>Hwy 101 793406</b>	<b>Transit 793505</b>	<b>Rail 793604</b>	<b>Bike &amp; Ped 793703</b>	<b>Total</b>
BEGINNING CASH BALANCE	80,646	639,191	7,371,758	9,883,136	213,726	1,265,723	816,190	20,270,370
<b>Revenue:</b>								
1140 Sales/Use Tax	24,236	484,720	484,720	969,440	242,360	121,180	96,944	2,423,600
1700 Interest on Pooled Cash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2500 State - Caltrans Reimbursement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4200 Bond Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>24,236</b>	<b>484,720</b>	<b>484,720</b>	<b>969,440</b>	<b>242,360</b>	<b>121,180</b>	<b>96,944</b>	<b>2,423,600</b>
<b>Expenses:</b>								
Caltrans Reimbursable Expenses:								
6400 Office Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6500 Professional/Special Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6570 Consultant Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6610 Legal Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6629 Fiscal Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6630 Audit Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7302 Travel Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7303 Private Car Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Cal-Trans Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6300 Misc. Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6500 Professional/Special Services	3,375	-	-	5,297	-	-	-	8,672
6570 Consultant Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6573 Administration Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6610 Legal Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6629 Fiscal Accounting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6630 Audit Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7302 Travel Expense	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	8
7303 Private Car Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8010 Contributions to Other Govt's:								
Cloverdale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cotati	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Healdsburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petaluma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rohnert Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Rosa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sebastopol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Windsor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma County Public Works	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma County Regional Parks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Caltrans	-	-	-	(887,862)	-	-	-	(887,862)
MTC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma County Transit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Rosa Citybus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petaluma Transit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Healdsburg Transit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SMART	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>3,375</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(882,557)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(879,182)</b>
<b>ADJUSTMENTS</b>								
109 Other Receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Due from Other Governments	6,610	132,200	132,200	264,400	66,100	33,050	26,440	661,000
201 Vouchers Payable	(12,161)	-	-	(204,436)	(210,755)	-	(46,968)	(474,320)
203 Accounts Payable	-	-	-	(339,744)	-	-	-	(339,744)
205 Due to Other Governments	-	-	-	(887,862)	-	-	-	(887,862)
209 Contract Retention Payable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rounding	(1)	-	-	-	-	(1)	-	(2)
<b>TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS</b>	<b>(5,552)</b>	<b>132,200</b>	<b>132,200</b>	<b>(1,167,642)</b>	<b>(144,655)</b>	<b>33,049</b>	<b>(20,528)</b>	<b>(1,040,928)</b>
<b>ENDING CASH BALANCE</b>	<b>95,955</b>	<b>1,256,111</b>	<b>7,988,678</b>	<b>10,567,491</b>	<b>311,432</b>	<b>1,419,952</b>	<b>892,605</b>	<b>22,532,224</b>

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	----- YEAR TO DATE -----		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	20,270,368.44	4,973,206.21	2,711,350.47	22,532,224.18
109	OTHER RECEIVABLES	400,067.42	.00	.00	400,067.42
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	1,479,968.96	.00	661,000.00	818,968.96
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-474,320.14	814,072.35	339,752.21	.00
203	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	-339,744.21	339,744.21	.00	.00
205	DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS	-887,862.00	887,862.00	.00	.00
209	CONTRACT RETENTION PAYABLE	-456,610.81	.00	.00	-456,610.81
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-15,530,352.95	.00	4,461,514.71	-19,991,867.66
322	FUND BALANCE RESERVED FOR ENCUMBRANCES	-4,461,514.71	399,354.21	.00	-4,062,160.50
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	41,275,014.71	65,910,596.00	-24,635,581.29
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	65,910,596.00	.00	65,910,596.00
411	REVENUE	.00	661,000.00	3,084,600.00	-2,423,600.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	36,813,500.00	-36,813,500.00
422	PRIOR YEAR APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	4,461,514.71	-4,461,514.71
431	EXPENDITURES	.00	348,424.12	1,227,606.21	-879,182.09
441	ENCUMBRANCES - CONTRACTS	.00	4,461,514.71	399,354.21	4,062,160.50
	TOTAL SUBFUND 100	.00	120,070,788.52	120,070,788.52	.00
	TOTAL FUND 80	.00	336,687,904.20	336,687,904.20	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 100 ADMINISTRATION

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	80,645.67	37,456.00	22,146.26	95,955.41
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	6,610.00	.00	6,610.00	.00
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-12,160.99	12,160.99	.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-73,774.68	.00	1,320.00	-75,094.68
322	FUND BALANCE RESERVED FOR ENCUMBRANCES	-1,320.00	1,320.00	.00	.00
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	181,820.00	193,713.00	-11,893.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	193,713.00	.00	193,713.00
411	REVENUE	.00	6,610.00	30,846.00	-24,236.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	180,500.00	-180,500.00
422	PRIOR YEAR APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	1,320.00	-1,320.00
431	EXPENDITURES	.00	3,375.27	.00	3,375.27
441	ENCUMBRANCES - CONTRACTS	.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 100	.00	437,775.26	437,775.26	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 200 LOCAL STREET REPAIRS

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	639,190.33	749,120.00	132,200.00	1,256,110.33
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	132,200.00	.00	132,200.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-771,390.33	.00	.00	-771,390.33
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	3,830,000.00	3,853,225.00	-23,225.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	3,853,225.00	.00	3,853,225.00
411	REVENUE	.00	132,200.00	616,920.00	-484,720.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	3,830,000.00	-3,830,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 200	.00	8,564,545.00	8,564,545.00	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 300 LOCAL STREET PROJECTS

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	7,371,758.06	749,120.00	132,200.00	7,988,678.06
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	132,200.00	.00	132,200.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-7,503,958.06	.00	.00	-7,503,958.06
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	1,335,000.00	4,133,858.00	-2,798,858.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	4,133,858.00	.00	4,133,858.00
411	REVENUE	.00	132,200.00	616,920.00	-484,720.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	1,335,000.00	-1,335,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 300	.00	6,350,178.00	6,350,178.00	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 400 HIGHWAY 101

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	9,883,135.93	2,725,846.21	2,041,491.28	10,567,490.86
109	OTHER RECEIVABLES	400,067.42	.00	.00	400,067.42
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	1,083,368.96	.00	264,400.00	818,968.96
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-204,436.22	544,188.43	339,752.21	.00
203	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	-339,744.21	339,744.21	.00	.00
205	DUE TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS	-887,862.00	887,862.00	.00	.00
209	CONTRACT RETENTION PAYABLE	-456,610.81	.00	.00	-456,610.81
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-5,017,724.36	.00	4,460,194.71	-9,477,919.07
322	FUND BALANCE RESERVED FOR ENCUMBRANCES	-4,460,194.71	398,034.21	.00	-4,062,160.50
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	32,063,194.71	54,061,557.00	-21,998,362.29
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	54,061,557.00	.00	54,061,557.00
411	REVENUE	.00	264,400.00	1,233,840.00	-969,440.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	27,603,000.00	-27,603,000.00
422	PRIOR YEAR APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	4,460,194.71	-4,460,194.71
431	EXPENDITURES	.00	345,048.85	1,227,606.21	-882,557.36
441	ENCUMBRANCES - CONTRACTS	.00	4,460,194.71	398,034.21	4,062,160.50
	TOTAL PROJECT 400	.00	96,090,070.33	96,090,070.33	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 500 TRANSIT

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	213,726.05	374,560.00	276,854.63	311,431.42
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	66,100.00	.00	66,100.00	.00
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-210,754.63	210,754.63	.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-69,071.42	.00	.00	-69,071.42
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	1,913,000.00	1,913,668.00	-668.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	1,913,668.00	.00	1,913,668.00
411	REVENUE	.00	66,100.00	308,460.00	-242,360.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	1,913,000.00	-1,913,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 500	.00	4,478,082.63	4,478,082.63	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 600 PASSENGER RAIL

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	1,265,722.09	187,280.00	33,050.00	1,419,952.09
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	33,050.00	.00	33,050.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-1,298,772.09	.00	.00	-1,298,772.09
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	957,000.00	958,566.00	-1,566.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	958,566.00	.00	958,566.00
411	REVENUE	.00	33,050.00	154,230.00	-121,180.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	957,000.00	-957,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 600	.00	2,135,896.00	2,135,896.00	.00

FUND : 80 OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES  
 SUBFUND : 100 MEASURE M  
 PROJECT : 700 BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN

G/L	ACCOUNT TITLE	BEGINNING BALANCE	YEAR TO DATE		ENDING BALANCE
			DEBITS	CREDITS	
101	EQUITY IN POOLED CASH	816,190.31	149,824.00	73,408.30	892,606.01
132	DUE FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS	26,440.00	.00	26,440.00	.00
201	VOUCHERS PAYABLE	-46,968.30	46,968.30	.00	.00
320	UNRESERVED/UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	-795,662.01	.00	.00	-795,662.01
400	BUDGETARY FUND BALANCE	.00	995,000.00	796,009.00	198,991.00
401	ESTIMATED REVENUE	.00	796,009.00	.00	796,009.00
411	REVENUE	.00	26,440.00	123,384.00	-96,944.00
420	APPROPRIATIONS	.00	.00	995,000.00	-995,000.00
	TOTAL PROJECT 700	.00	2,014,241.30	2,014,241.30	.00
	TOTAL SUBFUND 100	.00	120,070,788.52	120,070,788.52	.00
	TOTAL FUND 80	.00	336,687,904.20	336,687,904.20	.00

# Staff Report

**To:** Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)  
**From:** Janet Spilman, Deputy Director Planning and Public Outreach  
**Re:** **SCTA Comprehensive Transportation Plan Update**  
**Date:** September 24, 2007

---

## Issue:

What is the status of the SCTA Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) Update?

## Plan Task Areas

Consultants have been hired to assist in the following task areas:

1. Model enhancement (to include alternative transportation in mode split) – Dowling Associates
2. Public Participation – The Results Group
3. Content Development - Dowling Associates
4. Environmental Review – RFP has not yet been released.

The Scope of work for each task area has been revised and is being merged into a Project Timeline. Attached are the individual scopes and the timeline.

## The Timeline

- Significant on the timeline is the **poll**, currently scheduled for the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of November.
- Leading up to the poll are focused **interviews** and Advisory Committee **meetings** and approval by the SCTA in October and November of the poll direction and questions.
- As per the Goals an expressed direction of the Ad Hoc committee, a **Working Paper** will be developed on the topic of **Transportation and GHG Reduction**.
- A **second Working Paper** topic and paper will be developed through the process.
- **Modeling** data is being gathered simultaneously and the model itself is being enhanced to better analyze alternative modes.
- Staff will model a variety of **scenarios** based on the poll results.
- **Public meetings** will commence in February, kicked off by a summit of elected officials.
- Over the next few months goals **and strategies will be finalized, leading to a draft CTP** in July.

## Next step – review poll protocol in attachment



## Comprehensive Transportation Plan Update Opinion Poll Implementation Protocol

### Preliminary Poll Objectives:

1. Establish benchmarks for transportation demographics
2. Identify issues and concerns from public perspective
3. Identify behavior change levers to support mode shift

### Poll Development Individual and Group Interview Questions:

1. What objectives would you like to see SCTA accomplish through a public opinion poll?
2. What information would you like to see SCTA gather through a public opinion poll?
3. What issues do you think will be surfaced through a public opinion poll?
4. What do you think SCTA should focus on as it updates the CTP?

### Poll Implementation Timeframe:

Task:	Timeframe:
Determine Poll Objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Meet with various advisory groups</li> <li>- Conduct individual and group interviews</li> </ul>	September 17-October 5
Develop and Finalize Poll Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Meet with pollster</li> <li>- Meet with Ad Hoc Committee and SCTA Board</li> </ul>	October 8-November 6
Conduct Poll	November 7-17
Draft Poll Findings Report (Crosstabs and PPT presentation)	Nov 19-December 7

### Poll Implementation Meeting List:

Name:	Poll Related Task:	Meeting Date:
PAC	Review polling plan, discuss poll objectives	Sept. 17, 9:00 AM
CAC		Sept. 24, 4:00 PM
TAC		Sept. 27, 1:30 PM
Individual and group interviews	Discuss poll objectives	Sept. 17 – Oct. 5
SCTA Ad Hoc Committee	Finalize poll objectives and timeline, discuss protocol	Oct. 8, 1:00 PM
SCTA Board of Directors	Review poll objectives and timeline	Oct. 8, 3:00 PM
SCTA Ad Hoc Committee	Review and finalize draft poll	TBD Oct. 24- 26
CAC	Review and discuss final draft poll	Oct. 29, 4:00 PM
SCTA Board of Directors	Finalize/approve poll questions	Nov. 5, 3:00 PM

### SCTA Comprehensive Transportation Plan - Initial Project Timeline

