
Countywide Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee MEETING AGENDA

January 24, 2012 1:30-2:45 p.m.

SCTA/RCPA Large Conference Room

ITEM

1. Self Introductions by Attendees
2. Approval of Minutes, November 8, 2011 – DISCUSSION /ACTION*
3. Approval of Agenda – DISCUSSION / ACTION*
4. Invitation for Public Comment on items *not* on the agenda
5. CBPAC Officer Elections (Chair & Vice-Char) --- DISCUSSION/ACTION*
6. Roundtable
 - 6.1. Members' Reports
 - 6.2. Other Entities' Reports
7. Staff Reports:
 - 7.1. Status of Bicycle/Pedestrian Counting Program: Chris Barney --- INFORMATION/ACTION*
 - 7.2. SCTA Updating of Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan Maps: Chris Barney --- INFORMATION*
 - 7.3. CBPAC Review of Complete Streets Checklists for Transportation Enhancements (TE) projects (SMART MUP and Windsor Bike/Ped Improvements) --- INFORMATION/ACTION*
 - 7.4. Complete Streets Checklist Policy to be Changed by MTC: --- INFORMATION
 - 7.5. Status of Countywide Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan --- INFORMATION
 - 7.6. Status of Funding Programs: Lifeline, TDA3, TFCA --- INFORMATION
 - 7.7. Report on Work of Caltrans District 4 Pedestrian Advisory Committee --- INFORMATION
 - 7.8. TDA3 & TFCA Quarterly Report --- INFORMATION*
8. Articles of Interest: --- INFORMATION*
 - 8.1. [Report: Safe Routes to Schools changing habits in Marin](#)
Marin Independent Journal
 - 8.2. [New Sonoma Avenue lanes draw praise from cyclists](#)
Santa Rosa Press Democrat
9. Other Business / Comments / Announcements
10. Adjourn – ACTION



*Materials attached

The next **SCTA/ RCPA** meeting will be held **February 6, 2012**
The next **CBPAC** meeting will be held **March 27, 2012**

Copies of the full Agenda Packet are available at www.sctainfo.org

** DISABLED ACCOMMODATION: If you have a disability that requires the agenda materials to be in an alternate format or that requires an interpreter or other person to assist you while attending this meeting, please contact SCTA/RCPA at least 72 hours prior to the meeting to ensure arrangements for accommodation.

SB 343 DOCUMENTS RELATED TO OPEN SESSION AGENDAS: Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the **Countywide Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee** after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the Sonoma County Transportation Authority /Regional Climate Protection Authority office at 490 Mendocino Ave., Suite 206, during normal business hours.

Pagers, cellular telephones and all other communication devices should be turned off during the committee meeting to avoid electrical interference with the sound recording system.

COUNTYWIDE BICYCLE PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting Minutes of November 8, 2011

ITEM

1. Self Introductions by Attendees

Meeting called to order by Chair Wendy Atkins at 1:34 p.m.

Attendees: Nancy Adams, City of Santa Rosa; Wendy Atkins, City of Sonoma; Curt Bates, Rachel Ede, City of Santa Rosa; Ben Ford, Cotati Citizen Representative; Tim Hensel, Rohnert Park Citizen Representative; Mario Landeros, City of Healdsburg; David A. Lopez, Town of Windsor; Richard Marcus, City of Santa Rosa; Steve Schmitz, Sonoma County Transit; Geoffrey Skinner, Sebastopol Citizen Representative; Eydie Tacata, City of Rohnert Park.

Staff: Chris Barney, Nina Donofrio, Lynne March, Janet Spilman.

Lynne March announced a special meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to be held November 17, to discuss the RTP project list.

Janet Spilman explained that MTC had requested an unconstrained list of proposed transportation projects to be built over the next 27 years, and that this list includes hundreds of millions of dollars in bicycle/pedestrian projects. She encouraged the Committee to attend the special TAC meeting, noting that this issue will be addressed at the next SCTA/RCPA Board meeting November 14, and will then be returning to the Board for consideration at the December meeting.

Ms. March announced that a test of the emergency alert system would be conducted November 9 at 11:00 a.m.

Eydie Tacata of the City of Rohnert Park announced the appointment of Tim Hensel as citizen representative to CBPAC for the City of Rohnert Park.

The following item was addressed out of order and was last on the agenda:

2. Approval of Minutes, May 24, July 26, and September 27 of 2011 – DISCUSSION /ACTION*

Motion by Geoffrey Skinner, seconded by Eydie Tacata, to approve minutes of September 27, 2011, with the following changes: Delete sentence under “Sonoma County Transit” reading “Class II bicycle lanes will be installed at either end” and minutes also are to include Geoffrey Skinner, Sebastopol Citizen Representative as an attendee. Motion passed unanimously.

Motion by Geoffrey Skinner, seconded by Eydie Tacata, to approve the minutes of July 26, 2011 as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Geoffrey Skinner, seconded by Eydie Tacata, to approve the minutes of May 24 2011 as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

3. Approval of Agenda – DISCUSSION / ACTION*

4. Invitation for Public Comment on items *not* on the agenda

5. Notice of CBPAC Officer Elections on January 24, 2012---NOTICE OF ACTION*

Ms. March reminded the Committee that attendance at this meeting will be important in order to have a quorum for elections.

6. Proposed Calendar for 2012 --- DISCUSSION*

Ms. March led discussion of the proposed 2012 meeting schedule, polling the Committee for alternative dates or scheduling. Suggestions included keeping the meeting dates as scheduled, identifying those meetings that will require



Committee action/quorum (e.g., TDA funding review, the Complete Streets checklist, etc.); the possibility of quarterly meetings; eliminating summer meetings, during which time there is typically little activity; it was determined that the current schedule be kept, with the option to cancel meetings as determined by activity and need.

7. Roundtable

7.1 Members' Reports

City of Sonoma: Wendy Atkins reported that the City is currently implementing and installing its comprehensive bicycle lane and signage program. This has been delayed by rain, but staff hopes to have striping completed by this coming weekend.

City of Santa Rosa: Rachel Ede announced the retirement of Fabian Favila and explained that she and Richard Marcus are assuming those responsibilities formerly held by Fabian.

Nancy Adams of the City Public Works Department announced the award of grant funding for the Class II bicycle lane between Sonoma Avenue and Hahman Drive. The four travel lanes currently in place (two lanes each direction) will be reduced to three, with a center left turn lane, which will allow for the bicycle lane.

Staff will be presenting resolutions for the Caltrans Cooperation Agreement, Consultant Agreement, and Funding Agreement to initiate the Project Initiation Document for the Santa Rosa Junior College bicycle and pedestrian overcrossing project to City County November 15.

Ms. Adams summarized various federally-funded overlay projects; including Mission Avenue and Steele Lane.

City of Petaluma: Curt Bates announced the completion of a gap closure on the Lynch Creek Trail; virtually the entire east side of Petaluma is now accessible; this is a 10 ft. wide, Class I lane.

The City received planning grant funding for Safe Routes to School and has begun the RFP process to hire a consultant. W-trans did the last plan in 2006.

Ms. March referred to an article and photograph that includes Mr. Bates in the *Argus Courier* reporting on the issue of crosswalk safety for the visually impaired (see Item 10.1). Mr. Bates expanded on this, announcing that the intersection at Ellis Street and East Washington noted in the article is

scheduled for complete upgrading with new ramps, new signals, and new push buttons.

City of Rohnert Park: Eydie Tacata reported that bicycle lanes have been installed on Camino Collegio, which involved removal of some parking and the center lane. Crosswalks have been installed for uncontrolled intersections, as well as additional signage.

Tim Hensel added that this has been successful and has not adversely impacted residents; the amount of parking is essentially the same as prior to the installation of the bicycle lanes. He noted that the addition of a stop sign with the chicane helps in slowing traffic.

City of Sebastopol: Geoffrey Skinner noted that the Transit Hub project will be addressed later in the agenda.

Steven Schmitz of Sonoma County Transit reported that new transit shelters with solar-powered illumination for night have been installed, with bicycle racks and trash receptacles. Route 20 has been re-routed to go through this part of Sebastopol.

Street Smart Sebastopol Phase 3 is progressing. Highway 116, Petaluma Avenue, Healdsburg Avenue, and Main Street have had recent paving improvements. This includes re-doing one of the lighted crossings on Petaluma Avenue. Colorized asphalt with brick markings is being installed.

The Complete Streets Advisory Committee has not yet come up before City Council, but this is planned to take place by year-end.

Town of Windsor: David Lopez announced the updating of the bicycle trails map; signage has been updated on the dirt trails; issues have been identified where trails go onto private property. At the north end of Langley's work will begin on restriping bicycle lanes in February, 2012. Bicycle lanes on Windsor Road have been widened.

Ms. March referred to the newspaper article on green bicycle lanes in Windsor (Item 10.3).

City of Healdsburg: Mario Landeros reported that construction has started on Segment 3 of the Foss Creek pathway; this is a Class I path within the rail right-of-way. Completion of this is expected to be by the end of November; there have been delays involving preliminary work on drainage.

Mr. Landeros announced that the existing Healdsburg Avenue bridge will be rehabilitated and remain a Class III facility. Staff has been dealing with funding issues raised by Caltrans involving eligibility for a rehabilitation project.

Mr. Landeros next announced the first in-pavement crosswalk lighting to be installed in Healdsburg at an uncontrolled intersection that had been identified as hazardous for pedestrians. A Notice to Proceed is expected to be released this month. The project is anticipated to be complete by the end of this year.

Ms. March pointed out the newspaper article announcing Healdsburg's positioning to become a bicycle-friendly city (see Item 10.2). Mr. Landeros cited the City of Sonoma as an encouraging example of a bicycle-friendly city, which is Healdsburg's goal.

Sonoma County Transit: Mr. Schmitz announced delays in Phase 2 of the Western Avenue bicycle/pedestrian trail project between Cleveland Avenue and Petaluma City limits due to contractor delays. The Laguna de Santa Rosa trail is also delayed due to issues with unsatisfactory materials. The parking lot and seating areas are nearing completion; however, there is not currently an estimated completion date for the pathway itself.

City of Cotati: Ben Ford announced the completion of a sidewalk to fill a 50 ft. gap at the Cotati Creek foot bridge leading to a Burbank Housing project.

Highway 116 and Western Avenue undercrossing bridges have been completed in the course of Highway 101 construction, and bicycle lanes are yet to be re-installed.

The Old Redwood Highway project, from Gravenstein Highway to the hub in mid-Cotati, will be considered at the next Planning Commission meeting. Two alternatives up for consideration are to have a two-lane with roundabouts or a Rohnert Park Expressway extension.

7.2 Other Entities' Reports

SMART: Ms. March announced that Joanne Parker of SMART would be reporting back on the status of the TIGRR III grant. If this is awarded, the \$18 million would contribute significantly to the buildout of the multi-use pathway.

8. Status of Idea for Countywide Bicycle Route Signage Program: Steven Schmitz & Nancy Adams ---DISCUSSION/INFORMATION*

The Committee was referred to the staff report.

9. Staff Reports:

9.1 Preliminary TDA3 Estimate & Use Considerations --- DISCUSSION/INFORMATION*

Ms. March explained that this is an approximation. She encouraged Committee members to take advantage of the "borrow forward" provision. A final estimate will be released by January. She also reminded that TDA3 funds are from the State and allow for greater flexibility. She noted that the One Bay Area Grant proposal criteria has not yet been fully determined, but this could be limited to PDAs, which would result in less funds to be used outside the PDAs.

9.2 Lifeline Transportation Program/Community Based Planning Linkage --- DISCUSSION/INFORMATION*

Ms. March announced that MTC is releasing a second roll-out later in November for this program, and that the full guidelines are not yet available. She referred to a handout with a table showing third cycle funding from Fiscal Year 2010/11 through Fiscal Year 2012/13, summarizing the funding sources. Staff had requested additional funds for bicycle/pedestrian projects. This funding is to be linked to previous Community Based Transportation Plans' projects; Ms. March alerted the Committee to a potential opportunity to apply for non-transit projects in the future.

9.3 Report on Work of Caltrans District 4 Pedestrian Advisory Committee --- INFORMATION

Ms. March had nothing to report, but announced that they would be meeting next week. She noted that she did not have a timeline on when the design manual on uniform control devices and highway sign design would be released. She announced that she did not expect to serve another term on this Committee, and invited anyone interested in representing Sonoma County to apply.

9.4 TDA3 & TFCA Quarterly Report --- INFORMATION*

Ms. March reported that only one project is scheduled to expire this month. This is the transit marketing for Sonoma County Transit. December 19, 2011, there will be an additional \$8,369.00 available in funding.

9.5 2011 Version of Transportation Funding Sources --- INFORMATION* (electronic version only, mailed as a separate attachment to the agenda **)

Ms. March explained that this is the information released by Caltrans illustrating funding flow.

10. Articles of Interest: --- INFORMATION*

Ms. March briefly referred the Committee to two articles listed below that were not addressed previously in the meeting (10.4 and 10.5):

10.1 [Group wants crosswalks to be safer for the blind](#) By Jamie Hansen, Argus-Courier, Wednesday October 19, 2011 11:48 a.m., Activists for the blind take city officials (including Curt Bates) on a walking tour of some dangerous crosswalks

10.2 [Healdsburg trumpets its cycling-friendly credentials](#) By Clark Mason The Press Democrat Wednesday, October 19, 2011 at 6:53 p.m.

10.3 [Green bike lanes in Windsor – Road Warrior - The Press Democrat ...](#)

10.4 [Marin school bike-and-walk program scores another \\$1.2 million](#) Marin Independent Journal

10.5 [Federal transportation funding mandates — the coming Capitol Hill battle](#) Washington Post

11. Other Business / Comments / Announcements

The next meeting is scheduled for January 24, 2012.

12. Adjourn – ACTION

2:38 p.m.

Staff Report

To: Countywide Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee
From: Lynne March, Transportation Planner
Item: CBPAC Officer Elections for 2012 --- ACTION
Date: January 24, 2012

Issue:

Who shall serve as Chair and Vice Chair of the Countywide Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee (CBPAC) for 2012?

Background:

The committee's purpose is to provide technical assistance to the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) related to bicycle and pedestrian planning and funding. The CBPAC meetings serve as a forum for the exchange of information about bicyclist and pedestrian issues and to coordinate efforts countywide.

- **Officers:** SCTA's Ordinance No.3 requires that a Chair and Vice Chair be elected at the first meeting of the year to lead the CBPAC during the calendar year. Officers must be members of the CBPAC. There are no other requirements or term limits.

Wendy Atkins, city of Sonoma staff member, has been Chair during 2011, and has graciously consented to be a candidate for Chair in 2012.

Eydie Tacata, city of Rohnert Park staff member, has kindly consented to be a candidate for Vice Chair for 2012.

Additional nominations, including self nomination, from the pool of members may be made for either office at the January meeting.

- **Organization:** The officers elected in January are to accept responsibility for conducting the CBPAC meetings, as well as representing the CBPAC before the SCTA Board and at other venues as necessary.
- **Meetings:** There are to be approximately six regular meetings of the CBPAC in 2012. Additional meetings may be scheduled if the need arises. Regular meetings may also be cancelled if there is no compelling need (e.g., action items). Meetings are open to the public.

- Membership: The membership of CBPAC is designated as up to twenty (20) members, based on the ten (10) jurisdictions of Sonoma County (the County and its nine city/town entities). Each jurisdiction may appoint a staff person and a resident representative. Regional and other agencies that may send representatives are considered ex-officio, non-voting members.
- Voting: Each member, excluding non-voting members, shall have one vote on any matter to come before the committee for a vote. SCTA, however, urges the CBPAC to reach consensus on issues. If consensus is not reached, a minority opinion report can and should be made at the SCTA Board meeting if the issue is to go to the Board for a decision.

Action for January 24, 2012:

Voting members are requested to act to elect CBPAC Officers for service on the CBPAC in 2012.

All members are urged to attend this meeting.

Staff Report

To: CBPAC

From: Chris Barney, Transportation Planner

Item: 2012 Bicycle/Pedestrian Count Program

Date: 1/24/2012

Issue: The SCTA board has directed staff to expand the bicycle/pedestrian count program to include the complete list of 56 data collection locations identified by the CBPAC. Staff requests that the CBPAC review and revise the list of data collection locations and provide feedback on when bike/pedestrian counts should be collected in 2012.

Background:

At the November 2011 SCTA meeting, Staff proposed funding the 2012 count program at three levels:

1. Minimum Program: Collect AM/PM peak period bicycle/pedestrian counts at one location per Sonoma County jurisdiction (9 total locations).
2. Maintain Current Program: Collect AM/PM peak period bicycle/pedestrian counts at two locations per jurisdiction, and two additional locations of countywide significance as identified by the CBPAC (20 total locations).
3. Complete Program: Collect AM/PM peak period bicycle/pedestrian counts for the entire list of priority locations identified by the CBPAC (56 total locations).

	Data Collection Locations	Data Collection Cost (\$100 per location), includes travel reimbursement.	Data Processing and Final Report (30-60 hours)	TOTAL
Minimum Program	9	\$900	\$420	\$1,320
Maintain Current Program	20	\$2,000	\$560	\$2,560
Complete Program	56	\$5,600	\$840	\$6,440

The board of directors approved the Complete Program thereby funding collection of field data at locations on the entire list of CBPAC identified data collection locations in 2012 (56 locations). The approved funding plan will facilitate the hiring of a Sonoma State University or Santa Rosa Junior College student with an interest in planning, transportation, geographic

information systems, or engineering to collect, process, and analyze bicycle/pedestrian count data. Compensation has been approved at \$14/hour and reimbursement for travel expenses. Five hours are budgeted for each count location including two 2 hour count periods (AM 7-9 and PM 4-6) and one hour for travel/preparation per count session. The estimated cost per count location including field time, preparation, travel time, and travel reimbursement is \$100.

The proposed budget includes 60 hours for data processing and preparation of a brief final report summarizing the data collected and trends observed. Data will be collected in the spring or fall when school is in session.

Data collection at 56 locations will require a significant amount of field time and data will be collected on typical workdays (Tuesday-Thursday) in the spring (March-May) and the fall (September-October) when local schools are in session. Staff and the hired intern will check with CBPAC representatives when scheduling field collection sessions to ensure that no special events will take place during the scheduled field session. If your jurisdiction prefers that data be collected during a specific period, please schedule data collection sessions with SCTA staff before March 2012.

SCTA staff is available to provide training and support to local jurisdictions who would like to collect their own bicycle and pedestrian counts. Sonoma County collected data in 2010 and 2011, and Santa Rosa and Petaluma have collected data in the past. Data collection by local jurisdictions and/or volunteers could reduce the cost of the proposed program or enhance the SCTA sponsored program by providing additional data to be included in the countywide bicycle/pedestrian count database and final analysis.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Count Locations:

The attached list of collection locations is based on submissions from CBPAC and SCTA board members. Each jurisdiction is represented on the list of collection locations. The distribution of collection locations can be kept ‘as is’, or could be revised based on population share or some other formula based distribution. Staff requests that the CBPAC discuss the distribution and provide direction on if and how the distribution of collection locations should be adjusted.

Jurisdiction	2010 Population	Pop %	Count Locations by Population Share	Current Count Locations
Cloverdale	8618	1.8%	1	1
Cotati	7265	1.5%	1	3
Healdsburg	11254	2.3%	1	8
Petaluma	57941	12.0%	7	9
Rohnert Park	40971	8.5%	5	5
Santa Rosa	167815	34.7%	19	12
Sebastopol	7379	1.5%	1	3
Sonoma	10648	2.2%	1	5
Windsor	26801	5.5%	3	2
UC County	145186	30.0%	17	8
TOTAL	483878		56	56

Staff requests that the CBPAC also review the list to make sure that the locations identified in each representative's jurisdiction are still important and relevant and make changes to the list as necessary. CBPAC representatives are also requested to check bicycle/pedestrian count locations as laid out in local bicycle and pedestrian plans to ensure that local plans and the SCTA bike/ped. count list are consistent.

Policy Impacts: The bicycle/pedestrian count has been expanded for 2012.

Fiscal Impacts: The board has approved a budget of \$6,440 for the 2012 program. This budget will be used to hire student interns and cover travel expenses to field locations.

Staff Recommendation: Provide feedback on the list of collection locations and update or reprioritize as desired. Discuss and provide direction on how data collection locations should be distributed. Indicate if your jurisdiction prefers data collected in the spring or fall.

SCTA Bike/Ped. Count Program

Location Code	Jurisdiction	Location	Data Collection Date - 2009	Data Collection Date - 2010	MTC 2010*	Data Collection Date - 2011
1	Cloverdale	Cloverdale Blvd @ Citrus Fair				7-8-2011, AM, 7-5-2011, PM
2	Cotati	Hwy 116 @ Redwood Dr				
3	Cotati	Old Redwood Hwy @ Cotati Ave	7-27-09, AM, PM		SEPT, PM	
4	Cotati	Old Redwood Hwy/Hwy 116/Commerce		7-15-10, AM, 8-3-2010, PM		9-13-2011, AM, 9-13-2011, PM
54	County	East Cotati @ Petaluma Hill Rd				7-6-2011, AM, 7-5-2011, PM
5	County	Hwy 1 @ Bay Hill Rd in Bodega Bay				
6	County	Hwy 116 @ Main Str at Armstrong Woods Road in Guerneville				7-14-2011, AM, 7-13-2011, PM
7	County	Hwy 116 @ Mirabel Rd in Forestville				7-13-2011, AM, 7-12-2011, PM
8	County	Hwy 12 @ Boyes Blvd	8-6-2009, AM	8-17-2010, AM, 8-18-2010, PM		
55	County	Old Redwood Highway @ Railroad Ave.				
9	County	Petaluma Hill Rd @ Snyder Ln		7-30-2010, AM		
10	County	Petaluma Hill Rd @ Adobe Rd (Penngrove)		8-17-2010, AM, PM		7-7-2011, AM, 7-6-2011, PM
11	Healdsburg	Center Str @ Matheson Str	8-7-2009, AM, PM	7-16-10, AM, 8-12-2010, PM		7-8-2011, AM, 7-5-2011, PM
12	Healdsburg	Dry Creek Rd @ Grove Str				
13	Healdsburg	Healdsburg Ave @ Matheson St.			SEPT, PM	
14	Healdsburg	Healdsburg Ave @ Front Str				
15	Healdsburg	Healdsburg Ave @ Grant Ave (Park-and-Ride Lot at southerly city limits)				
16	Healdsburg	Healdsburg Ave @ Grove Str				
17	Healdsburg	Healdsburg Ave/Mill Str/Vine Str				
18	Healdsburg	Powell Ave @ Johnson Str				
19	Petaluma	East D Street B/N Lakeville Street and Copeland Street		8-4-2010, AM, 7-29-2010, PM		
20	Petaluma	East Washington Street B/N Lakeville Str and Copeland Str				
21	Petaluma	East Washington Street B/N McDowell Blvd and Hwy 101	8-24-2009, AM, PM	8-4-2010, AM, 7-29-2010, PM		8/10/2011, PM
22	Petaluma	Lakeville Street @ Lindburg Ln				
23	Petaluma	Lynch Creek Trail @ Bike/Ped Bridge				
24	Petaluma	North McDowell Blvd @ PVH Entrance				
25	Petaluma	Petaluma Blvd North @ Oak Str				
26	Petaluma	Petaluma Blvd South B/N C and D Streets				
27	Petaluma	Howard/6th St. @ A Str				8-12-2011, AM, 8-10-2011, PM
28	Rohnert Park	Country Club Dr @ Southwest Blvd	10-20-09, AM, PM			
29	Rohnert Park	Roberts Lake Rd @ Golf Course Dr				
30	Rohnert Park	Rohnert Park Expressway @ Commerce Blvd	7-27-09, AM, PM	7-15-10, AM, 8-3-2010, PM		7-14-2011, AM, PM
31	Rohnert Park	Rohnert Park Expressway @ Snyder Ln				7-19-2011, AM, PM
32	Rohnert Park	Petaluma Hill Rd. @ Rohnert Park Expressway			SEPT, PM	7-20-2011, AM, 7-21-2011, PM
33	Santa Rosa	College Ave @ Mendocino Ave	7-8-09, AM, PM			8-23-2011, PM
34	Santa Rosa	Dutton Ave @ Joe Rodota Pathway		7-22-2010, PM		8-9-2011, AM, PM
35	Santa Rosa	Guerneville Rd @ Range Ave	10-6-09, AM, PM			
36	Santa Rosa	Joe Rodota Pathway @ Prince Memorial Greenway	7-15-09, AM, PM			
37	Santa Rosa	Mendocino Ave @ Pacific Ave	7-9-09, AM, PM		SEPT, PM	
38	Santa Rosa	Mendocino Ave @ Steele Ln	10-6-09, AM			7-27-2011, AM, 8-4-2011, PM
39	Santa Rosa	Petaluma Hill Rd @ Kawana Springs Rd				
40	Santa Rosa	Santa Rosa @ 2nd Str	7-10-09, AM, PM	6-29-10, AM; 7-19-2010, PM	SEPT, PM	7-19-2011, PM
41	Santa Rosa	Sonoma Hwy (Hwy 12) @ Calistoga Rd				
42	Santa Rosa	South Wright Rd @ Joe Rodota Pathway				
43	Santa Rosa	Yulupa Ave @ Bethards Dr	7-28-09, AM, PM			
44	Santa Rosa	Mendocino Ave @ 7th St		7-20-2010, PM		
45	Sebastopol	North Main St @ West County Trail/Analy Ave	8-10-2009, AM, PM	7-1-10, PM, 8-19-2010, AM		
46	Sebastopol	S Main St @ Burnett Str	7-23-09, AM, PM	8-19/2010, AM, 7-1-10, PM		7-6-2011, AM, 7-20-2011, PM
56	Sebastopol	Petaluma Ave @ Joe Rodota Trail			SEPT, PM	8-25-2011, PM
47	Sonoma	Broadway @ Newcomb Str				
48	Sonoma	Broadway @ E Napa Str	8-6-2009, AM	8-17-2010, AM, 8-18-2010, PM	SEPT, PM	8-3-2011, AM, 8-2-2011, PM
49	Sonoma	East MacArthur Str @ Fifth Str East				
50	Sonoma	Spain Str @ Second Street West				
51	Sonoma	Hwy 12 - Sonoma HWY @ Vallejo Ave			SEPT, PM	8-3-2011, AM, 8-2-2011, PM
52	Windsor	Old Redwood Hwy @ Conde Ln/Windsor River Rd	8-3-2009, AM, PM			
53	Windsor	Windsor Rd @ Windsor River Rd		7-16-10, AM, 8-12-2010, PM		9-13-2011, AM, 8-11-2011, PM

*2010 MTC counts were collected from 12-2 and 4-6 PM.

Staff Report

To: CBPAC
From: Chris Barney, Transportation Planner
Item: 2012 Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Map Updates
Date: 1/24/2012

Issue:

What is the 2012 policy for updating local bicycle/pedestrian plan maps?

Map Update Process:

Updating local bicycle/pedestrian plan maps requires a significant investment in SCTA and local staff time. Staff is committed to keeping the maps current, useful, and error-free and proposes that annual map updates be initiated at the request of local jurisdictions if desired for 2012.

Map updates may include:

- Updating existing and proposed bike route and pedestrian facility locations.
- Updating base map information (City boundary changes, addition of new schools and parks, etc.)
- Updating map annotation and labels.

Other map changes or major design changes can be made at the request of the jurisdiction, but may be subject to map design or data development fees.

When a map update is requested, staff will provide an electronic and/or hardcopy version of the current map to one representative from the requesting jurisdiction (typically the CBPAC representative from that jurisdiction). This representative would circulate the map for comments within their organization and forward collected comments on to SCTA staff. Marked up versions of the maps and written comments are the most useful types of feedback for updating the maps. Edits based on these comments would then be made and an updated draft map will be provided for final comments and review. After the final review and any final edits are made, staff would provide a final draft of the map to each jurisdiction and post the updated map to the SCTA website.

Any map changes or updates should also be reflected in the tabular or written portions of the corresponding bicycle/pedestrian plan.

Policy Impacts:

The map update policy has been changed from SCTA initiating an annual map update process for each Sonoma County jurisdiction, to updating bicycle/pedestrian plans when requested by local jurisdictions.

Fiscal Impacts:

Map updates require staff time and incur printing costs.

Staff Recommendation:

Please note that the map update policy has changed. Contact staff if you would like to update your map in 2012.

Staff Report

To: Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee
From: Seana L. S. Gause, Program/Project Analyst
Item: Complete Streets Checklists
Date: January 24, 2012

Issue:

What is the status of the Transportation Enhancement projects Complete Streets Checklists?

Background:

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission Resolution #3765 calls for all projects funded through MTC’s programs and fund sources to consider the accommodations of bicyclists and pedestrians in planning, design and construction. The resolution specifies that project sponsors complete the Routine Accommodations/Complete Streets Checklist when the project is submitted to MTC for funding. The checklist is intended for use on projects at their earliest conception or design phase so that any pedestrian or bicycle consideration is included in the project budget.

In September, the SCTA approved two projects to be programmed with Transportation Enhancement (TE) funding. Project sponsors recently submitted Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) programming requests and were required to fill out the Complete Streets Checklist as part of their application process. The two TE projects are as follows:

Project Sponsor	Funding Source	Project Title	Location
SMART	TE	Multi-use Bicycle and Pedestrian Path	Sonoma County from Marin County Line to Santa Rosa
Windsor	TE	Windsor Bicycle and Pedestrian Linkages	Old Redwood Highway at Lakewood Drive

The project checklists have been included in the CBPAC Agenda Packet for the committee’s review. These checklists are available on MTC’s website <http://completestreets.mtc.ca.gov/> This link will also be posted on the SCTA website as well. Only projects that have been approved by MTC will appear to the public.

Policy Impacts:

None, it is regional policy to have the County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committees review the complete streets checklist.

Fiscal Impacts:

None

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the CBPAC review the Complete Streets Checklists.

Project:
SMART Multi-Use Pathway Sonoma County Initial Operating Segment

Checklist:
Sonoma-Marín Area Rail Transit District

CREATED 2011-12-19 (10 days ago) UPDATED 2011-12-29 (less than a minute ago)

City

Sonoma County

Status

Submitted

Description

Construction of a Class 1 non-motorized pathway within the SMART right-of-way in the Sonoma County SMART Initial Operating Segment. The segment to be constructed will be from Southwest Boulevard to Golf Course Drive in Rohnert Park.

Contact Name

Joanne Parker

Contact Email

jparker@sonomamarintrain.org

Contact Phone

707-521-0718

Contact Address

**490 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 102
Santa Rosa, CA 95425**

1a What accommodations for bicycles and pedestrians are now included on the current facility and on facilities that it intersects or crosses?

None selected

Other

No current facility along SMART Rail right-of-way.

Please provide specifics of any items checked above.

1b If there are no existing pedestrian or bicycle facilities, how far from the proposed project are the closest parallel bikeways and walkways?

0-1/4 mile

Other

1c Please indicate any particular pedestrian uses or needs along the project corridor that you have observed or have been informed of.

None selected

Other

Need has been expressed for a fully separated bicycle and pedestrian path parallel to the 101 corridor.

1d What existing challenges would the proposed project improve for bicycle or pedestrian travel in the vicinity of the proposed project?

None selected

Other

A more direct north-south path of travel.

2a What trip generators (existing and future) are in the vicinity of the proposed project that might attract walking or bicycling customers, employees, students, visitors or others?

Educational institutions

Transit stations

High-density land uses

Other

3a In the project design, have you considered collisions involving bicyclists and pedestrians along the route of the facility?

Yes

If so, what resources have you consulted?

4a Do any adopted plans call for the development of bicycle or pedestrian facilities on, crossing or adjacent to the proposed facility/project?

City or town bicycle plan

Countywide bicycle plan

City or town pedestrian plan

Countywide pedestrian plan

Combined bicycle and pedestrian plan

**Regional transportation Plan
Station area access plan**

Other

Is the proposed project consistent with these plans?

Yes

5a Do any local, statewide or federal policies call for incorporating bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities into this project?

None selected

Other

SMART District Measure Q Sales Tax Expenditure Plan.

If so, have the policies been followed?

Yes

5b If this project includes a bicycle and/or pedestrian facility, have all applicable design standards or guidelines been followed?

Yes

6a If there have been BPAC, stakeholder and/or public meetings at which the proposed project has been discussed, what comments have been made regarding bicycle and pedestrian accommodations?

Numerous meetings throughout the years with the SCTA BPAC, TAC, and with various SMART District/Measure Q discussions.

7a What accommodations, if any, are included for bicyclists and pedestrians in the proposed project design?

Class I bicycle paths

Other

8a Will the proposed project remove an existing bicycle or pedestrian facility or block or hinder bicycle or pedestrian movement?

No

If yes, please describe situation in detail.

8b If the proposed project does not incorporate either bicycle or pedestrian facilities, or if the proposed project would hinder bicycle or pedestrian travel, list reasons why the project cannot be re-designed to accommodate these facilities.

What would be the cost of the bicycle and/or pedestrian facility?

0

What is the bicycle and/or pedestrian facility's proportion of the total project cost?

100

Right-of-way. (Did an analysis lead to this conclusion?)

0%

9a How will access for bicyclists and pedestrians be maintained during project construction?

None selected

Other

Current access does not exist, therefore access will not be maintained during construction.

10a What agency will be responsible for ongoing maintenance of the facility?

Sonoma-Marín Area Rail Transit District.

10b How will ongoing maintenance be budgeted?

Ongoing maintenance is budgeted as part of the SMART District annual budget for the Measure Q/General Fund.

Project:

Old Redwood Hwy at Lakewood Dr. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project

Checklist:

Old Redwood Hwy at Lakewood Dr. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project

CREATED 2011-12-14 (15 days ago) UPDATED 2011-12-14 (15 days ago)

City

Windsor

Status

Submitted

Description

Install colored priority bicycle lanes on ORH from the intersection of ORH and southbound US 101 interchange to ORH and Lakewood Drive. In addition, the project will widen a portion of ORH at the US 101 Northbound Off-Ramp, relocate the splitter island on Lakewood Drive, install a pedestrian crossing of Lakewood Drive, install an in-roadway warning system to the crosswalk at the Northbound On-Ramp to US 101, as well as adding a second left turn lane on Lakewood Drive, install high visibility crosswalks and replace existing traffic signal poles with decorative poles at the Lakewood Drive/Northbound Off-Ramps.

Contact Name

Alejandro Perez

Contact Email

aperez@townofwindsor.com

Contact Phone

(707) 838-5318

Contact Address

**PO Box 100
Windsor, CA 95492-0100**

1a What accommodations for bicycles and pedestrians are now included on the current facility and on facilities that it intersects or crosses?

**Class II bicycle lanes
Sidewalks on one side or both sides of street
Narrow unpaved path**

**Pedestrian-actuated traffic signals or routine pedestrian cycle
Pedestrian-level lighting**

Other

Please provide specifics of any items checked above.

1 b If there are no existing pedestrian or bicycle facilities, how far from the proposed project are the closest parallel bikeways and walkways?

None selected

Other

1 c Please indicate any particular pedestrian uses or needs along the project corridor that you have observed or have been informed of.

**Improved lighting
Lack of sidewalk
Intersection improvements
School age children**

Other

1 d What existing challenges would the proposed project improve for bicycle or pedestrian travel in the vicinity of the proposed project?

**Unresponsive signals to bicycles
Freeway on-off ramps
Wide roadway crossings
Sidewalk obstruction or missing sidewalk
Pedestrian-level lighting
ADA compliant facilities**

Other

2 a What trip generators (existing and future) are in the vicinity of the proposed project that might attract walking or bicycling customers, employees, students, visitors or others?

**Transit stations
Downtowns
Shopping areas**

Other

3a In the project design, have you considered collisions involving bicyclists and pedestrians along the route of the facility?

Yes

If so, what resources have you consulted?

SWITRS

4a Do any adopted plans call for the development of bicycle or pedestrian facilities on, crossing or adjacent to the proposed facility/project?

**City or town bicycle plan
ADA transition plan**

Other

Is the proposed project consistent with these plans?

Yes

5a Do any local, statewide or federal policies call for incorporating bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities into this project?

**Caltrans Deputy Directive 64
Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG)**

Other

If so, have the policies been followed?

Yes

5b If this project includes a bicycle and/or pedestrian facility, have all applicable design standards or guidelines been followed?

Yes

6a If there have been BPAC, stakeholder and/or public meetings at which the proposed project has been discussed, what comments have been made regarding bicycle and pedestrian accommodations?

N/A

7a What accommodations, if any, are included for bicyclists and pedestrians in the proposed project design?

**Class II bicycle lanes
Sidewalks on both sides of street
Frequent crosswalks
Bicycle actuated traffic signals**

High visibility crosswalks
Pedestrian-level lighting
ADA-compliant ramps

Other

8a Will the proposed project remove an existing bicycle or pedestrian facility or block or hinder bicycle or pedestrian movement?

No

If yes, please describe situation in detail.

8b If the proposed project does not incorporate either bicycle or pedestrian facilities, or if the proposed project would hinder bicycle or pedestrian travel, list reasons why the project cannot be re-designed to accommodate these facilities.

N/A

What would be the cost of the bicycle and/or pedestrian facility?

What is the bicycle and/or pedestrian facility's proportion of the total project cost?

Right-of-way. (Did an analysis lead to this conclusion?)

9a How will access for bicyclists and pedestrians be maintained during project construction?

Alternative signed bicycle route
Alternative signed pedestrian route

Other

10a What agency will be responsible for ongoing maintenance of the facility?

Windsor

10b How will ongoing maintenance be budgeted?

Gas Tax and local funds

Staff Report

To: Countywide Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee

From: Lynne March, Transportation Planner

Item: Quarterly Status Report for TFCA and TDA3 projects not fully expended

Date: January 24, 2012

This report provides the status, as of January 13 of TFCA and TDA3 projects not yet fully expended.

Jurisdiction	Project	Programmed Amount	Funds Expended	Funds Remaining	Funds Expire
Healdsburg	TDA3 Foss Creek Pathway Segment 3 (09-0010-18 to 12-0010-60)	\$110,000.00	-	\$110,000.00	06/30/14
Healdsburg	TDA3 Healdsburg Ave., Grove St., & Rosewood Drive, Class II Bike Lane Re-striping (09-0010-17 to 12-0010-59)	\$18,000.00	-	\$18,000.00	06/30/14
Petaluma	TDA3 Lynch Creek Trail Extension (10-0010-01)	\$306,976.00	\$184,217.60	\$122,758.40	06/30/12
Petaluma	TFCA Interim East D Street Bicycle Boulevard (10SON06)	\$50,000	\$10,282.79	\$39,717.21	10/28/12
Petaluma	TFCA Petaluma Transit--- Transit Marketing (10SON07)	\$60,000	\$26,816.17	\$33,183.83	10/28/12
Petaluma	TFCA Sonoma Mountain Parkway & McDowell Blvd Corridor Signal Timing (11SON04)	\$100,000	-	\$100,000	11/14/13
Rohnert Park	TDA3 Bike Path Striping Project (10-0010-02)	\$120,000	-	\$120,000	06/30/12
Santa Rosa	TDA3 Class III (Citywide) Signage Phase I (10-0010-03)	\$40,000.00	\$9,128.54	\$30,871.46	06/30/12

Jurisdiction	Project	Programmed Amount	Funds Expended	Funds Remaining	Funds Expire
Santa Rosa	TDA3 West College Avenue—Class II Bicycle Lanes (10-0010-04)	\$41,000.00	\$7,670.71	\$33,329.29	06/30/12
Santa Rosa	TDA3 Electronic Bicycle Locker Program (10-0010-05)	\$47,280.00	—	\$47,280.00	06/30/12
Santa Rosa	TDA3 Pedestrian Flasher @ Santa Rosa Avenue & Bellevue Avenue (11-0010-13)	\$75,000.00	3,428.85	\$71,571.15	06/30/13
Santa Rosa	TDA3 Stony Point Road, Class II Bicycle Lanes (11-0010-14)	\$80,000.00	\$78,772.53	\$1,227.47	06/30/13
Santa Rosa	TDA3 Santa Rosa Bicycle / Pedestrian Plan (11-0010-44)	\$66,659.00	\$27,572.30	\$39,086.70	06/30/13
Santa Rosa	TDA3 Humboldt St. Bike Boulevard. Traffic Calming Phase II (12 -0010-11)	\$50,000	—	\$50,000	06/30/14
Santa Rosa	TDA3 Pedestrian Flasher at Old Stony Point Rd./Hearn Ave. (12-0010-12)	\$50,000	—	\$50,000	06/30/14
Santa Rosa	TDA3 Brush Creek Class I Bike and Pedestrian Path Rehab. (12-0010-14)	\$250,000	—	\$250,000	06/30/14
Santa Rosa	TFCA Student/Youth Bus Pass Subsidy (11SON02)	\$71,000	\$4,171.17	\$66,828.83	11/14/13
Santa Rosa	TFCA Free Ride Trip Reduction Incentive Program (11SON03)	\$132,060.46	\$25,814.09	\$106,246.37	11/14/13
Cotati	TFCA Cotati Depot Bus Rail Link (10SON08)	\$20,000	—	\$20,000	10/28/12
Sonoma County	TDA3 Western Avenue Bicycle Shoulder Widening (10-0010-06)	\$500,000	\$458,062.61	\$41,937.39	06/30/12

Jurisdiction	Project	Programmed Amount	Funds Expended	Funds Remaining	Funds Expire
Sonoma County	TDA3 Sonoma-Petaluma Class II Bicycle Lanes (12-0010-13)	\$90,000	-	\$90,000	06/30/14
Sonoma County	TFCA Transit Marketing Program (10SON03)	\$59,356.00	-	\$59,356.00	10/28/12
Sonoma County	TFCA Transit Bus Stop Enhancements (10SON04)	\$80,000.00	-	\$80,000.00	10/28/12
Sonoma County	TFCA Sonoma County Transit Passenger Information System (11SON01)	\$227,955.40	-	\$227,955.40	11/14/13
Sonoma (city)	TFCA Comprehensive Class III Route Sign Program and So. 5th Street West Class II Lanes (09-SON-06)	\$135,542.00	\$37,897.25	\$97,644.75	01/13/13 1 year extension
Windsor	TDA3 Bicycle Brochure & Map (11-0010-12)	\$35,000.00		\$35,000.00	06/30/13

Expired TDA 3 Funds – funds roll into jurisdictions pot

Cloverdale	TDA 3 Bicycle Lane Re-striping (09-0010-16): \$7,590.83 rolls to 12/13
Sonoma County	TDA3 Unincorporated Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan (09-0010-25):\$.68 rolls to 12/13
Sonoma County	TDA3 Arnold Drive Multi-use Pathway (09-0010-22):\$266.30 rolls to 12/13

Expired TFCA Funds --- Balances roll into 12/13 TFCA pot

Rohnert Park	TFCA Commerce Boulevard Bike Lane Gap Closure (09-SON-07)	\$13,000	\$9,475.07	\$3,524.93	01/13/12
Rohnert Park	TFCA Redwood Drive Bike Lane Gap Closure (09-SON-08)	\$13,800	\$11,276.00	\$2,524.00	01/13/12